COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

ALTERNATIVE RATE ADJUSTMENT FILING OF)
MUHLENBERG COUNTY WATER DISTRICT)

CASE NO.

2012-00009

NOTICE OF FILING OF COMMISSION STAFF REPORT

Notice is hereby given that, in accordance with the Commission's Order of February 23, 2012, the attached report containing the findings and recommendations of Commission Staff regarding the Applicant's proposed rate adjustment has been filed in the record of the above-styled proceeding.

Jeff Derouen

Executive Director

Public Service Commission

P.O. Box 615

Frankfort, KY 40602

DATED: ____MAR 3 0 2012

cc: Parties of Record

STAFF REPORT

MUHLENBERG COUNTY WATER DISTRICT

CASE NO. 2012-00009

On January 10, 2012, Muhlenberg County Water District ("Muhlenberg") filed with the Commission an application to adjust its current rates for water service. Using its historical operations for the calendar year ended December 31, 2010 and adjusting for known and measureable changes, Muhlenberg proposes rates that will produce additional revenues from water sales of \$361,778, an increase of 12.23 percent over normalized revenues from water sales of \$2,959,438. For the average residential customer who purchases 3,817 gallons of water monthly, his or her monthly bill will increase from \$32.06 to \$36.07, or approximately 12.51 percent. Muhlenberg also proposes to assess a monthly surcharge of \$1.91 on all customers for 12 months to finance a meter replacement program.

Commission Staff members Mark Frost and Jason Green performed a limited financial review of Muhlenberg's test-year operations to determine whether test-period operating revenues and expenses are representative of normal operations and the proposed adjustments are reasonable. They did not pursue and have not addressed in this report insignificant or immaterial discrepancies. Where they have not expressly addressed a test-period expense, they found insufficient evidence to contest the reasonableness of that expense.

¹ Mr. Frost and Mr. Green inspected Muhlenberg's records while assisting Muhlenberg in the preparation of its rate application.

This report summarizes Staff's review and recommendations. Mr. Green reviewed Muhlenberg's normalized revenue adjustment and proposed rate design. Mr. Frost addresses all pro forma expense adjustments and the revenue requirement determination. Commission Staff's recommended pro forma operating statement is set forth in Appendix A. At Appendix B, Commission Staff sets forth its findings and recommendations regarding Muhlenberg's test-period operations. Commission Staff's calculation of Muhlenberg's revenue requirements is shown at Appendix C. Commission Staff's recommended rates are found at Appendix D.

Muhlenberg proposes to use a 1.2x Debt Service Coverage ("DSC") to calculate its requested revenue requirement. The Commission has historically used the DSC methodology to determine the revenue requirement for water districts and water associations. This approach is used primarily because a bond ordinance or loan agreement requires the water district or association to maintain a predetermined DSC level. Muhlenberg currently has outstanding loans with Kentucky Rural Water Financing Corporation.² Commission Staff, therefore, agrees with the use a debt service coverage ratio of 1.2 to determine Muhlenberg's revenue requirements. Commission Staff notes that the Commission has previously found that this ratio is sufficient for a water

See Case No. 2004-00062, Application of Muhlenburg County Water District to Issue Securities In the Approximate Principal Amount of \$1,248,000 For the Purpose of Refunding Certain Outstanding Revenue Bonds of the District Pursuant to the Provisions of KRS 278.300 and 807 KAR 5:001 (Ky. PSC Mar. 24, 2004); Case No. 2004-000381, Application of Muhlenburg County Water District to Issue Securities In the Approximate Principal Amount of \$1,856,000 For the Purpose of Refunding Certain Outstanding Revenue Bonds of the District Pursuant to the Provisions of KRS 278.300 and 807 KAR 5:001 (Ky. PSC Oct. 20, 2004); Case No. 2007-00163, Application of the Muhlenburg County Water District to Issue Securities In the Approximate Amount of \$1,897,000 Pursuant to the Provisions of KRS 278.300 and 807 KAR 5:001 (Ky. PSC May 29, 2007). As of December 31, 2010, the outstanding amount on these loans was \$3,706,000. Report of Muhlenberg Water District to the Public Service Commission of Kentucky for the Calendar Year Ended December 31, 2010 ("Annual Report") at 22.

association or district to cover its reasonable operating expenses, meet its debt service requirements, and provide for reasonable equity growth.

Commission Staff finds that Muhlenberg has accurately reported its test-period operations in its application and that its proposed pro forma adjustments meet the ratemaking criteria of known and measurable. Based upon its review, Commission Staff finds that Muhlenberg's pro forma operating expenses are \$2,920,148 and its debt service requirement is \$429,351.³ Applying a 1.2x DSC to Muhlenberg's average debt service produces an annual revenue requirement of \$3,435,369 and an annual revenue requirement from water sales of \$3,321,216, an increase of \$361,778, or 12.23 percent above normalized revenue from water sales of \$2,959,438. This level of revenue from water sales will allow Muhlenberg to cover its pro forma operating expenses, meet its debt service requirements, and provide for future equity growth.

Commission Staff reviewed the billing analysis of Muhlenberg's test-period sales, which is contained in Muhlenberg's application, and concurs with methodology and results of the analysis. Commission Staff finds that, based upon adjusted test-period sales, Muhlenberg's proposed rates, which are set forth in Appendix D, will produce revenues of \$3,321,216.

Neither Muhlenberg nor Commission Staff performed a cost-of-service study in this case. Commission Staff agrees with Muhlenberg's proposal that each rate block within the current rates be increased by an equal percentage derived from the percentage increase in revenue requirement over adjusted test-period revenues.

This amount represents the average of Muhlenberg's annual principal and interest payments for the three-year period from 2012 to 2014.

Accordingly, the rates set forth in Appendix D reflect an approximate 12.23 percent increase to each rate block of Muhlenberg's current rates.

In its application, Muhlenberg proposed that its purchased water expense not include the cost of purchased water for unaccounted-for water loss that exceeds 15 percent of total water purchases during the test period. During the test period, Muhlenberg experienced water line losses of 23.7 percent of purchased water and proposed to exclude \$87,534 of the cost of this lost water from rate recovery. 807 KAR 5:066, Section 6(3)⁴ requires this action.

Approximately 29.29 percent of Muhlenberg's water purchases⁵ in 2010 were non-revenue water.⁶ Muhlenberg attributes its level of non-revenue to the age of its 3/4 x 5/8-inch water meters. According to Muhlenberg, the majority of these water meters have been in service in excess of 30 years and have exceeded their useful lives. The cost to refurbish these meters would far exceed the benefit that would be derived.

Except for purchased water rate adjustments for water districts and water associations, and rate adjustments pursuant to KRS 278.023(4), for rate making purposes a utility's unaccounted-for water loss shall not exceed fifteen (15) percent of total water produced and purchased, excluding water used by a utility in its own operations. Upon application by a utility in a rate case filing or by separate filing, or upon motion by the commission, an alternative level of reasonable unaccounted-for water loss may be established by the commission. A utility proposing an alternative level shall have the burden of demonstrating that the alternative level is more reasonable than the level prescribed in this section.

⁵ 545,997,000 gallons (Total Produced and Purchased) – 386,079,000 (Total Water Sales) = 159,918,000 gallons (Total Non-Revenue Water). 159,918,000 gallons ÷ 545,997,000 gallons = 0.2928.

According to the Commission's annual financial and statistical report form, "line loss" is the total amount of water lost as a result of tank overflows, line breaks, line leaks, and other causes. "Non-revenue water is defined as "those components of system input volume that are not billed and produce no revenue, equal to unbilled authorized consumption plus apparent losses plus real losses." American Water Works Association, *Water Audits and Loss Control Programs* (3d ed. 2009) at 271. "Unaccounted-for water loss" equals the difference of the total amount of water produced and purchased and the sum of water sold, water used for fire protection purposes, and water used in treatment and distribution operations (e.g., backwashing filters, line flushing).

To address its non-revenue water problem, Muhlenberg proposes to implement a meter replacement program for its 3/4 x 5/8-inch water meters that it estimates will cost approximately \$1,332,255⁷ and will take ten years to complete. To fund its proposed meter replacement program, Muhlenberg has requested authorization to assess a monthly surcharge of \$1.91⁸ to each ratepayer receiving service through a 3/4 x 5/8 inch water meter for a period of ten years.

Commission Staff recommends that the request to assess a surcharge whose proceeds will be solely devoted Muhlenberg's meter replacement program be granted. As shown in the table below, Muhlenberg is experiencing a significant non-revenue water problem that appears to be worsening. Replacement of its aging meter population is expected to reduce the level of non-revenue water and thus the cost of service. Moreover, with the imposition of conditions on the use of the surcharge proceeds, the proposed surcharge represents an effective means of ensuring that the collected funds are expended for their intended purpose.

Commission Staff, therefore, recommends that the Commission authorize Muhlenberg to collect a surcharge of \$1.91 per month that will be assessed to each ratepayer served through a $3/4 \times 5/8$ inch water meter. The proposed surcharge should

⁷ Refer to Application, C.I. Thornburg bid: \$118.55 (Meter Cost) + \$106 (Transceiver Unit Cost) = $$224.55 \times 5,933$ (Meters) = \$1,332,255.15 + \$25,000 (5 Radio Read Units) = \$1,357,255.

^{\$1,357,255.15 (}Cost of Meter Replacement Program) ÷ 120 Months = \$11,310.46 (Monthly Surcharge Collections) ÷ 5,933 (3/4 x 5/8 Inch Customers) = \$1.91.

allow for the assessment and collection of \$135,984⁹ annually for a period of 120 months or until \$1,357,255¹⁰ has been assessed.

	MUHLE	NBERG WATE	R DISTRICT'S NO 2005-2010	ON-REVENUE WATER
Year	Total Water Purchased	Total Water Sales	Non-Revenue Water	Non-Revenue Water As Percentage of Total Purchases
2005	501,115,000	398,884,000	102,231,000	20.40
2006	507,688,000	370,111,000	137,577,000	27.10
2007	519,089,000	394,314,000	124,775,000	24.04
2008	521,925,000	393,335,000	128,590,000	24.64
2009	532,802,000	376,674,000	156,128,000	29.30
2010	545,997,000	386,079,000	159,918,000	29.29

Commission Staff further recommends that authorization to assess such a surcharge be subject to the following conditions:

- Muhlenberg must deposit surcharge collections in a separate interest-bearing account.
- Muhlenberg must file quarterly activity reports with the Commission that include a statement of monthly surcharge billings and collections, a monthly surcharge bank statement, a list of each payment from the account, its payee and a description of its purpose, and invoices supporting each payment.
- The Commission may revoke Muhlenberg's authority to assess the surcharge and require refund of all surcharge proceeds if Muhlenberg fails to comply with any condition.

If the Commission authorizes Muhlenberg to assess a meter replacement surcharge, those collections constitute contributions and should be accounted for in the manner prescribed by the Uniform System of Accounts for Class A and B Water

 $^{^9}$ \$1.91 (Monthly Meter Replacement Surcharge) x 5,933 (3/4 x 5/8 Inch Customers) x 12 (Months) = \$135,984.36.

In its Application, Muhlenberg has provided invoices and cost estimates that show that the total cost of its meter replacement program will be \$1,357,255.

Districts and Associations. The monthly billing should be debited to customer accounts receivable and credited to the contribution account. When the meter replacement surcharge is actually collected from the customer, special funds would be debited and the customer account credited.

In summary, Commission Staff is of the opinion that Muhlenberg requires additional funds for its meter replacement program, but that such funds should be subject to strong controls to ensure their effective use, public acceptance of the surcharge, and public confidence in the water district's use of those funds.

Signatures

Prepared by: Mark C. Frost

Financial Analyst, Water and Sewer Revenue Requirements Branch Division of Financial Analysis

Prepared by: Jason Green

Rate Analyst, Communications, Water

and Sewer Rate Design Branch Division of Financial Analysis

APPENDIX A STAFF REPORT, CASE NO. 2012-00009 PRO FORMA OPERATIONS

		2010	Р	ro Forma	Adj.	F	Pro Forma
	An	nual Report	Ac	ljustments	Ref.	C	perations
Operating Revenues:							
Revenue - Metered Water Sales	\$	2,931,857	\$	27,581	(a)	\$	2,959,438
Other Operating Revenues:							
Forfeited Discounts		49,970		0			49,970
Misc. Service revenues		51,859		0			51,859
Total Other Operating Rev	\$	101,829	\$	0		\$	101,829
Total Operating Rev.	\$	3,033,686	\$	27,581		\$	3,061,267
Operating Expenses:				<u> </u>			
Operation & Maintenance:							
Salaries & Wages – Emp.	\$	799,698	\$	(75,491)	(b)	\$	724,207
Salaries & Wages – Com.		13,000		0			13,000
Employee Pension & Benefits		541,575		(16,849)	(c)		524,726
Purchased Water		854,129		(87,534)	(d)		766,595
Purchased Power		80,378		0			80,378
Chemicals		11,632		0			11,632
Materials & Supplies		68,377		0			68,377
Contractual Services – Eng.		13,146		(12,800)	(e)		346
Contractual Services – Acc.		15,382		0			15,382
Contractual Services - Legal		5,288		0			5,288
Contractual Services - Water Testing		4,448		0			4,448
Rental – Equipment		592		0			592
Transportation		54,725		0			54,725
Insurance - Gen. Liability		39,584		(8,616)	(f)		30,968
Insurance - Workers Comp.		31,129		445	(g)		31,574
Advertising		1,221		0			1,221
Bad Debt Expense		12,085		0			12,085
Miscellaneous		111,670		(16,298)	(h)		95,372
Total Operation & Maint	\$	2,658,059	\$	(217,143)		\$	2,440,916
Depreciation		402,541		16,549	(i)		419,090
Taxes Other Than Income:							
Payroll Taxes		62,874		(7,474)	(j)		55,400
PSC Assessment		4,742		0_			4,742
Utility Operating Exp.	\$	3,128,216	\$	(208,068)		\$	2,920,148
Net Utility Operating Income	\$	(94,530)	\$	235,649		\$	141,119
Other Income & Deductions:	,	` ' '					
Interest Income		12,324		0		_	12,324_
Net Income Available for Debt Service	\$	(82,206)	\$	235,649		\$	153,443
	=====						

APPENDIX B STAFF REPORT, CASE NO. 2012-00009 PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS

	Revenue 2,959,438 2,931,857 27,581	Total 49,730 46,913 47,738 33,062 40,853 38,351 21,115 31,865 37,972 38,137 37,879 41,532 40,330 36,880 32,763 22,248 5724,207 \$ 724,207 \$ 724,207 \$ 7599,698 - 775,491)
מלכי לי	Gallons 384,692,900 386,079,000 (1,386,100)	Pro Forma Salaries & Wages Pro Forma Salaries & Wages Misc./on Call ¹ 863 863 863 84,875 863 912 863 150 912 1,865 600 7,372 600 7,372 600 7,372 600 7,372 600 7,372 600 7,372 600 7,372 600 7,372 600 7,372 600 7,372 600 7,372 600 7,372 600 7,372 600 7,372 600 7,372 7,248 600 7,272 7,248
	nalysis and the current Customers 6,010 6,022 (12)	Regular Pro Form Pegular 49,730 42,863 32,912 35,005 37,372 37,372 37,372 37,537 36,979 40,732 42,062 49,722 39,830 36,480 32,713 28,155 27,248
DJOSINIEN	nission Staff's billing ar	s worked during the 20 s/Hours Overtime N/A N/A N/A N/A 19.00 143.00 226.25 11.00 55.00 226.50 129.50 129.50 140.50 31.25 19.75 19.70 0.00
PRO FORMA AUJUS INIT	its the results of Comm	2011 wages to the hours 2010 Weeks 2010 Weeks 2010 Weeks 2010 Weeks 2010 Weeks 2010 Weeks 2010 Weeks 2010 Weeks 52 2010 15.39 2,120 15.39 2,120 15.39 2,120 15.39 2,120 15.39 2,120 15.39 2,120 17.03 2,120 17.04 2,120 17.04 2,120 17.05 2,120 17.0
	This adjustment reflec	wages the 2 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2011 2
	(a) Revenue from Water Sales: This adjustment reflects the results of Commission Staff's billing analysis and the current farined races (1,386,179.0) (1,386,10)	Billing Analysis Annual Report Difference (b) Salaries & Wages: This adjustment applies Pay Pay Method Position Title Weekly Superintendent Asst. Superintendent Maintenance Meter Reader Hourly Maintenance Hourly Meter Reader Hourly Maintenance Hourly Mechanic Office Manager Asst. Office Mgr. Clerical Less: Reported Salaries & Wages - Employees

¹ Miscellaneous includes payments for cleaning, attending board meetings, and testing.

e premiums.
insuranc
employee
currer
the (

and the culture displayed madical promisers			9: -	Annial		Retirement		otais	
Position Title	Health	Dental	ב	3	!	0000	<i>و</i>	39 531	
θ	280	528	\$ 64	99 99	30,132	30'A		000	
General Manager	, ,		. 25		099	8,867	2.5	176'6	
Superintendent	>	> 9	9 6	31	932	9,02	22	40,954	
Asst Superintendent	2,579	<u>5</u>	20		000	769	01	29.877	
Asst. Capaling as a second as	1 877	37	55	67	070,	7.	2 :	04.000	
Maintenance	- (c)	7	ሊ ሊ	16	572	7,7		24,233	
Meter Reader	1,289	70) i	90	706	7.24	8	34,044	
	2 120	28	99	02	067,	1 0) 7	4 210	
Meter Keader	i I	40	C		228	χ Σ	7.	617,4	
Maintenance	>	0 (, t		768	0.0	22	6,790	
Maintenance	0	<u> </u>	Q 1	70	22,	7 177	7.7	34,801	
	2.210	37	99	17	+70'	· č		23 504	
Maintenance	1 T	ά	55	16	,296	7,7	S S	+00'07	
Maintenance	C47'	9 9	, rd	13	920	7,1	29	21,079	
Meter Reader	1,105	>	ָרָרָ נְּרָּ	90	376	7.8	50	34,226	
	2,124	19	22	27	0 7 7	0	00	36 498	
Welei Reade	0000	10	57	22	3,416	o o	70	0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0 (0	
Maint. Foreman	767,7	5 5	7.4	27	7,912	9,6	24	37,536	
Maintenance	2,250	20	5 !	ic	0.44	7	22	40,466	
	2 661	19	/9	26	† † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † † †) '	! (970 70	
Mechanic	- 100.7	5 5	74	1,	1,376	o,o	70	21,340	
Office Manager	1,125	D (ר ע ט	70	7 408	6.1	92	33,600	
Asst Office Mar	2,210	91	CC	1 +	7 7 7	. הר	21	19,433	
Asst. Cilico migi:	4 405	2	52	_	7 1 1	0,0			
Clerical		5 6	5		7,852	5,1	50	33,002	
Clerical	2,250	<u>n</u>	20				8	524,726	
Pro Forma Employee Pension and Benefits							•	541,575	
Less: Reported 2010 Salaries & Wages - Employees	es						₩.	(16,849)	

ourchases.		
(u) Fulcilased water purchases. Convent wholesale water rates are being applied to the allowable water purchases.	Test Period Water Purchases – Central City	Test Period Water Purchases – Taylor County Water District

مرد المهاردا دو		g Analysis
St Pellou Water i dicitasco degrer con	tal Water Purchased	ater Sales - Staff's Billing Analysis

System Flushing

Fire Department Other

Total Water Sold and Used

Non-Revenue Water - Gallons

Percentage of Non-Revenue Water Pro Forma Water Sales

Add: System Flushing, Fire Department, and Other

414,526,900

85.00%

384,692,900 29,834,000

79,000

543,339,900 384,692,900 29,233,000 522,000 128,813,000

23.708%

414,526,900

487,678,706

Taylor County

Subtotal

Divided by: 15% Line Loss Reciprocal

Allowable Purchases

Divided by: Water Produced/Purchased Fest-Period Purchases

Percentage of Purchases

Allowable Purchases/Production

Multiplied by: Percentage of Purchases

Allowable Purchases

Multiplied by: Wholesale Water Rate per 1,000 Gallons

Pro Forma Purchased Water Expense

Allowable Purchases - Taylor County WD Allowable Purchases - Central City Total Allowable Water Purchases

Less: Actual Test-period Expense Pro Forma Adjustment

Water Dist.	274,200	543,339,900	0.050%	487,678,706	× 0.050%	243,839	x \$ 5.420	\$ 1,322	\$ 765,273	+ 1,322	1001
Central City	543,065,700	543,339,900	99.950%	487,678,706	× 99.950%	487,434,867	x \$ 1.570	\$ 765,273			

766,595

(87,534)854,129

tractual Services - Engineering: This adjustment removes capital items that were incorrectly expensed in the test period.	TOPICS #
(e) Contractual S	

	\$ (3,477)	+ (9,323)	\$ (12,800)
•			
משטומי ויפווים	Check. #	23714	23902
ontractual Services - Engineering: This adjustifier Certactual Services of Contractual Services	Description	GRW Engineers Tie-in	GRW Engineers Tie-in
Contractual Services	Date	6/30/2010	12/31/2010

Total Capital Expenditures

(f) <u>Insurance</u>: This expense is being adjusted to reflect the current premiums for various coverages listed below. Premium Total - Annual

(8,616)

↔

30,968 39,584

Less: Reported Expense

current premíum.	per \$100
is being adjusted to reflect the	Pavroll
	Workers Companisation magnation of the
	(g) Insurance - Workers Compensation:

(a) Insurance - Workers Compensation: Workers Compensation insurance expense is being adjusted to tened and the same and the same same and the same	ig adjusted	ייסון	ner \$100	3100	Pre	Premium
(b)	78	rayion	2	200	6	22 828
	49	599,161	↔	3.0	9	22,020
Waterworks Operation	•	125.046	↔	0.27	+	338
Clerical	€	724.207			↔	23,166
Total Manual Premium	+				+	394
Inc. Limits					↔	23,560
Subject Premium			1.37%	%	+	32,277
Experience Modifier						2,982
Premium Discount					↔	29,295
Normai Premium						210
Expense Constant					+	142
Foreign/Domestic Terrorism					↔	29,647
Estimated Premium					+	1,927
Kentucky Special Fund Assessment					↔	31,574
Total Pro Forma Annual Cost					'	31,129
Less: Reported Premium					ક્ક	445
Pro Forma Adjustment						

Amount (h) <u>Miscellaneous</u>: This adjustment removes capital items that were incorrectly expensed in the test period and expenses that the Commission has historically held should not be borne by the rate payer.

Description

Employee Christmas Flowers

GRW Capital

Pro Forma Adjustment Miscellaneous

flects a full-year of depreciation for items capitalized in 2010.

Depreciation	
This adjustment reflects a full-year	Date
(i) Depreciation:	100000000000000000000000000000000000000

(16,298)

ᡐ

(8,149)

(1,674) (2,390) (4,085)

᠌

Adjustment	\$ 985	81 207 1,568 9,898 165 377 3,268 \$ 16,549
Expense	1 074	323 497 3,137 16,968 1,980 1,130 9,800
	θ	
l ifo	70	5 c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c
	Cost	\$ 1,615 \$ 2,487 \$ 31,374 \$ 169,680 \$ 11,300 \$ 98,000
	ep. Exp.	242 290 1,569 7,070 1,815 753 6,532
Date		12/31/10 \$ 04/23/10 \$ 06/02/10 \$ 07/01/10 \$ 08/31/10 \$ 02/16/10 \$ 05/18/10 \$
		Meter Installation United Printer 3 Laptops 2008 White Dodge Ram Telemetry Used Generator Tractor 4 Generators

FICA	3,804	3,589	3,652	2,529	3,125	2,934	1,615	2,438	2,905	2,917	2,898	3,177	3,271	3,896	3,085	2,821	2,506	2,154	2,084	55,400	62,874		
Ë	s																			₩	,	ક	

(i) Payroll Taxes: This adjustment applies the payroll tax rate to the normalized salaries and wages expense.
Position Title
General Manager
Superintendent
Asst. Superintendent
Maintenance
Meter Reader
Meter Reader
Maintenance
Maintenance
Maintenance
Maintenance
Meter Reader
Meter Reader
Maint. Foreman
Maintenance
Mechanic
Office Manager
Asst. Office Mgr.
Clerical
Clerical
Pro Forma Payroll Taxes
Less: Test Period Payroll Taxes

APPENDIX C STAFF REPORT, CASE NO. 2012-00009 REVENUE REQUIREMENT DETERMINATION

Three Year Average Debt Service								
		Annual						
Bonds	F	Principal	De	ebt Service				
2012	\$	284,000	\$	142,803	\$	426,803		
2013	\$	297,000	\$	132,433		429,433		
2014	\$	311,000	\$	120,818		431,818		
Total	\$	1,288,054						
Divided by:	÷	_ 3						
Average Del	\$	429,351						

Revenue Requi	rement from	Wate	r Sales		
Debt Service Coverage	\$ 429,351	Χ	1.2	=	\$ 515,221
Add: Pro Forma Operating Exp.					2,440,916
Depreciation					419,090
Taxes Other Than Income					 60,142
Total Revenue Requirement					\$ 3,435,369
Less: Other Income & Deductions					12,324
Revenue Requirement - Operations					\$ 3,423,045
Less: Other Operating Revenues					101,829
Revenue Requirement – Water					\$ 3,321,216
Less: Normalized Revenue – Water					 2,959,438
Requested Increase					\$ 361,778
Percentage Increase					 12.23%

APPENDIX D STAFF REPORT, CASE NO. 2012-00009 STAFF'S RECOMMENDED RATES

Usage Bra	ickets	Rates						
5/8 x 3/4 Inch M	/leter:							
First	2,000	\$	22.53	Min. Bill				
Next	8,000	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$	7.45	Per 1,000 Gal				
Next	10,000	\$	6.79	Per 1,000 Gal				
Next	30,000	\$	6.14	Per 1,000 Gal				
Over	50,000	\$	5.48	Per 1,000 Gal				
1 Inch Meter:	,							
First	5,000	\$	44.88	Min. Bill				
Next	5,000	\$	7.45	Per 1,000 Gal				
Next	10,000	\$	6.79	Per 1,000 Gal				
Next	30,000	\$ \$ \$	6.14	Per 1,000 Gal				
Over	50,000	\$	5.48	Per 1,000 Gal				
1 1/2 Inch Meter:								
First	11,000	\$	88.89	Min. Bill				
Next	9,000	\$ \$ \$	6.79	Per 1,000 Gal				
Next	30,000	\$	6.14	Per 1,000 Gal				
Over	50,000	\$	5.48	Per 1,000 Gal				
2 Inch Meter:								
First	16,000	\$	122.82	Min. Bill				
Next	4,000	\$	6.79	Per 1,000 Gal				
Next	30,000	\$ \$ \$	6.14	Per 1,000 Gal				
Over	50,000	\$	5.48	Per 1,000 Gal				
3 Inch Meter:								
First	26,000	\$	186.77	Min. Bill				
Next	24,000	\$ \$	6.14	Per 1,000 Gal				
Over	50,000	\$	5.48	Per 1,000 Gal				
4 Inch Meter:								
First	36,000	\$	248.15	Min. Bill				
Next	14,000	\$ \$	6.14	Per 1,000 Gal				
Over	50,000	\$	5.48	Per 1,000 Gal				
Wholesale		\$	4.35	Per 1,000 Gal				

Davey Douglas Superintendent 301 Dean Road P.O. Box 348 Greenville, KENTUCKY 42345